

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	_1_	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/072,605		02/05/2002	Michael J. Renn	ODC2000-1-CIPB	1467	
5179	7590	02/20/2004	·	EXAM	EXAMINER	
PEACOCH P O BOX 2		ERS AND ADAMS	HUFFMAN,	HUFFMAN, JULIAN D		
ALBUQUERQUE, NM 871256927				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
_	-			2853		
			·	DATE MAILED, 02/20/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/072,605	RENN, MICHAEL J.					
Office Action Summa	ary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Julian D. Huffman	2853					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status	· (·) (! - d 00 F-	- Lavara - 2000						
1) Responsive to communication								
2a) This action is FINAL .	•	action is non-final.						
Since this application is in co- closed in accordance with the	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above daim(s)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed	. ,							
	Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 7-9</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) <u>5 and 6</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to	restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 February 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)								
since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific								
reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413) Paper No(s)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R	eview (PTO-948)		atent Application (PTO-152)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10/20/03 . 6) Other:								

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 1, the limitation in the last line is a method limitation in an apparatus claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

Response to Amendment

2. A copy of Applicant's preliminary amendment, including certification that the amendment was mailed on February 3, 2003, was received and the amendment has been entered. Since the amendment was not entered until after the previous rejection had been mailed a second non-final rejection appears herewith and addresses the claims as amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 4. Claims 1 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Thaler (U.S. 5,814,152).

Application/Control Number: 10/072,605

Art Unit: 2853

Thaler disclose an apparatus comprising:

a material source means for supplying a material to be deposited (30a);

an atomization means for producing a plurality of discrete particles from said material source means (element 35, column 11, lines 55-59);

a force application means for propelling said plurality of discrete particles generally toward a substrate (element 20, column 11, lines 61-66);

a collimation means for controlling the direction of flight of said plurality of discrete particles (element 20, column 3, lines 22-24, column 6, lines 23-25);

depositing said plurality of particles on said substrate (fig. 8, element 12);

wherein said force application means comprises a carrier gas (column 11, lines 55-59) and a laser (20); and

wherein said collimation means comprises a means for entraining said plurality of particles in a sheath gas (element 35, column 11, lines 55-59).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over anticipated by Thaler in view of Ogren et al. (U.S. 4,689,052).

Thaler discloses everything claimed with the exception of a virtual impactor.

Application/Control Number: 10/072,605 Page 4

Art Unit: 2853

Ogren et al. disclose a virtual impactor (10) that sorts particles by size and carries the particles after sorting (column 4, line 65-column 5, line 2 and column 6, lines 60-63). Additionally, Ogren et al. does not limit the use of the virtual impactor (column 8, lines 56-60).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the virtual impactor of Ogren et al. in the invention of Thaler. The reason for doing such would have been to provide a means to control the size of the particles, provide a more uniform coating and control the thickness of the coating provided on the substrate (column 6, lines 60-64).

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 5 and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art of record does not disclose multiple virtual impactors in series in the combination.

U.S. 4,132,894 to Yule discloses a multi stage virtual impactor. One having ordinary skill in the art would not be motivated to modify Thaler in accordance with Yule. There is no motivation to combine the device of Yule with that of Thaler and further Yule is concerned with monitoring radioactive materials and is nonanalogous art.

Application/Control Number: 10/072,605 Page 5

Art Unit: 2853

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julian D. Huffman whose telephone number is (703) 308-6556 until February 11th. 2004, upon which date the number will be changed to (571)272-2147. The examiner can generally be reached Monday through Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier, can be reached at (703) 308-4896. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

∵1

.IH

January 7, 2004

ر کېر

Technology Center 2800